

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF US WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MOTION FOR AN ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE TO MANAGE ITS SECTION 271 APPLICATION.)))))))	CASE NO. USW-T-00-3 NOTICE THAT REPORT FILED: REPORT ON CHECKLIST ITEMS 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, AND 12
---	---------------------------------	--

On July 7, 2000, the Commission issued Order No. 28439 approving U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s (now Qwest Communications) Motion for Approval of an Alternative Procedure to manage a Section 271 process. Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (47 U.S.C. § 271) establishes the means for a Bell Operating Company (BOC), which includes Qwest, to begin providing interLATA and interstate telecommunication services. Essentially, a BOC may enter the interLATA long-distance market once the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) determines the BOC satisfies the requirements of Section 271. Section 271(c)(2)(B) contains a checklist of 14 items relating to access and interconnection that a BOC must meet in each state where it provides local service. Before making its determination, the FCC is required to "consult with the state commission of any state that is the subject of the application in order to verify the compliance of the Bell Operating Company with the requirements of [Section 271]." 47 U.S.C. 271(d)(2)(B). Thus it is left to each state to establish a record and make a recommendation regarding a BOC's compliance with Section 271.

In Procedural Order No. 28450, the Commission approved its participation in a multi-state, workshop process to develop a record on Qwest's compliance with the Section 271 requirements. The multi-state collaborative process now includes the states of Iowa, Montana, North Dakota, Wyoming, Utah and New Mexico. The state commissions retained John Antonuk of Liberty Consulting Group to act as facilitator to coordinate and manage the workshops and prepare reports for filing in each state. The current procedural schedule requires the facilitator to prepare and file five different reports with the Commission, each addressing different parts of the Section 271 checklist.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that pursuant to the procedural schedule adopted by the Commission, the facilitator filed a Report on Checklist Items 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 12 on

NOTICE THAT REPORT FILED:
REPORT ON CHECKLIST ITEMS
3, 7, 8, 9, 10 AND 12

March 19, 2001. Specifically, the report addresses issues associated with the following checklist items:

- Item 3: Access to Poles, Ducts, Conduits, and Rights-of-Way
- Item 7: 911/E911, Directory Assistance, Operator Services
- Item 8: Directory Listings
- Item 9: Number Administration
- Item 10: Call-Related Databases and Signaling
- Item 12: Local Dialing Parity

Also pursuant to the Commission's instructions, the Report sets forth all issues resolved by the parties, and identifies those issues remaining in dispute regarding the relevant checklist items. The Report also includes the facilitator's recommended solution for some disputed issues. Other disputed issues have been deferred to other workshops or proceedings.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that, included as part of the Report is a Statement of Generally Available Terms (SGAT) containing terms by which Qwest will provide access and interconnection to competitive telecommunication providers. The SGAT terms must provide access and interconnection consistent with the checklist items in Section 271(c)(2)(B). The terms for Qwest's SGAT will be modified through the workshop process as issues are resolved by the parties, or ultimately are resolved by the Commission.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED, also pursuant to the procedural schedule adopted by the Commission, that parties were provided an opportunity to file briefs, exceptions and comments in response to the Report filed by the facilitator. Exceptions and comments were filed by AT&T Communications of the Mountain States, Inc., Qwest, and the Commission Staff. In its comments, AT&T identified those issues in dispute on which it disagreed with the facilitator's recommended resolution. Qwest's comments indicate its willingness to accept the recommended resolution of the facilitator. In its comments, Staff recommended that the Commission accept the facilitator's proposed resolution of disputed issues.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that the Commission accepts the Report on Checklist Items 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 12 filed by the facilitator on March 19, 2001. The Commission regards those issues identified in the report as undisputed as fully resolved through this workshop process. In regard to disputed issues, the Commission notes the filing of comments and exceptions by the parties, and will schedule an opportunity after all of the reports have been filed

for parties to present oral argument on the disputed issues before the Commission approves a resolution.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that the Report on Checklist Items 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 12, together with the record compiled by the facilitator, including any testimonies, exhibits, comments and briefs, are available for public inspection during regular business hours at the Commission office.

DATED at Boise, Idaho this day of April 2001.

PAUL KJELLANDER, PRESIDENT

MARSHA H. SMITH, COMMISSIONER

DENNIS S. HANSEN, COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

Jean D. Jewell
Commission Secretary

Vld/N:USW-T-00-3_ws2